

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/854,897	05/14/2001	David Suden	510.043US01	7584
7	590 05/03/2004		EXAMINER	
FOGG, SLIFI	ER & POLGLAZE, P.A.		GHATT,	DAVE A
P.O. Box 5810			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2854	
Willineapons, 1	VIIV 33436-1007			

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·			tr			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/854,897	SUDEN ET AL.				
7	Examiner	Art Unit				
ļ	Dave A Ghatt	2854				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 02 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	/ to a tion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	<u>.</u>					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriation or the final of the final o	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C		ang date of the inial rejec	aon, even ii			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		. ш.о срроси				
		see NOTE below):				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
issues for appeal; and/or	,,,,,,,,		,,,,,,			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	3 .			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>4-6,11,15-17,22,24 and 25</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,7-10,12-14,18-21,23 and 26-32</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:						
	CAL	EW H. HIRSHEELD	Ke//			
		DRY PATENT EXAMIN	ER			

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as outlined in the prior office action, the rejected claims are obvious over Kitaoka (6,400,659) in view or Kita et al. (5,798,161).

With respect to item 7 of the present paper, the applicant should note that for the purpose of appeal, the amendment to claims 30 and 32 will be entered. However, these claims will remain rejected on the same grounds as outlined in Final Rejection of December 02, 2003. The amendments do not change the scope of the claims, but simply correct a minor typographical error.